

RECEIVED

 TECH CENTER 1600/2900
 Sheet 1 of 9
 APR 15 2002

Form PTO-1449	U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office	ATTY. DOCKET NO. P30835DIV2C2	SERIAL NO. 10/044,848
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(Use several sheets if necessary)</i>		APPLICANT Pathak et al.	
		FILING DATE January 11, 2002	GROUP

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial	Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

	Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Translation Yes No	

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

CS	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Torpharm's Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of Claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,113,944
CT	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Torpharm's Memorandum of Law In Support of Its Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of Claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent 6,113,944
CU	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibits to Torpharm's Memorandum of Law In Support of Its Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of Claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,113,944 - INDEX
CV	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 1 – U.S. Patent 6,113,944
CW	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 2 – Complaint dated 8/26/99 in Civil Action 99-4304
CX	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 3 – Complaint dated 9/27/00 in Civil Action 00-4888

EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED
EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.	

RECEIVED
 2002 JAN 19
 TECH CENTER 1600/2900
 Sheet 2 of 9

Form PTO-1449	U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office	ATTY. DOCKET NO. P30835DIV2C2	SERIAL NO. 10/044,848
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(Use several sheets if necessary)</i>		APPLICANT Pathak et al.	
		FILING DATE January 11, 2002	GROUP

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial	Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Translation	
					Yes	No

OTHER DOCUMENTS *(Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)*

CY	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 4 – Complaint dated 5/2/01 in Civil Action 01-2169
CZ	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 5 – U.S. Patent 4,007,196
CAA	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 6 – U.S. Patent 4,721,723
CBB	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 7 – Paroxetine Tablets – Ex Ferrosan Report (SB02001-007710 DX618), dated 4/3/80
CCC	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 8 – Paroxetine Strategy Meeting Minutes (SB02001-412721-412730 DX622), dated 9/9/80
CDD	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 9 – Paroxetine Development Meeting Minutes (SB06001-002857-002865, 002867-002872 DX623), dated 10/8/80

EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED
----------	-----------------

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

RECEIVED

Sheet 3 of 9

Form PTO-1449 U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(Use several sheets if necessary)</i>	ATTY. DOCKET NO. P30835DIV2C2	SERIAL NO. 10/044,848
	APPLICANT Pathak et al.	
	FILING DATE January 11, 2002	GROUP

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial	Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

	Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Translation	
						Yes	No

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

CEE	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 10 – The Synthesis of Paroxetine Hydrochloride Report (SB02001-086316-086341 DX66), dated January 14, 1981
CFF	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 11 – U.S. Patent 4,745,122
CGG	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 12 - SmithKline's Response to Torpharm's Local Rule 56.1(a)(3) Statement of Material Facts dated January 9, 2001
CHH	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 13 – File History For Serial No. 08/676,381
CII	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 14 – U.S. Patent 5,047,246
CJJ	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 15 – Transcript of Ram D. Pathak dated September 26, 2001

EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED
EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.	

RECEIVED

Sheet 4 of 9

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

Form PTO-1449	U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office	ATTY. DOCKET NO. P30835DIV2C2	SERIAL NO. 10/044,848
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(Use several sheets if necessary)</i>		APPLICANT Pathak et al.	
		FILING DATE January 11, 2002	GROUP

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial	Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

	Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Translation	
						Yes	No

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

	CKK	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 16 – <u>Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms: Tablets</u> , Vol. 1, pages 64 and 148 (1980)
	CLL	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 17 – International Application WO 92/09281
	CMM	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 18 – U.S. Patent 6,113,944 Prosecution History
	CNN	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 19 - U.S. Patent 6,113,944 Certificate of Correction dated September 6, 2000
	COO	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 20 – Decision in Canadian Litigation, SmithKline v. Apotex, dated July 6, 2001
	CPP	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 21 – U.S. Patent 4,804,669
EXAMINER		DATE CONSIDERED
EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.		

RECEIVED
TECH CENTER 160012900
JAN 19 2002

Form PTO-1449	U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office	ATTY. DOCKET NO. P30835DIV2C2	SERIAL NO. 10/044,848
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(Use several sheets if necessary)</i>		APPLICANT Pathak et al.	
		FILING DATE January 11, 2002	GROUP

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial	Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Translation Yes No

OTHER DOCUMENTS *(Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)*

CQQ		Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 22 – Paxil® Package Insert, Physicians' Desk Reference, 48th Edition, pages 2267 – 2270 (1994)
CRR		Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 23 – U.S. Patent 5,340,810
CSS		Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 24 – <u>The Theory and Practice of Industrial Pharmacy</u> , pages 305, 318, 319 and 320
CTT		Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 25 – U.S. Patent 5,071,854
CUU		Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 26 – U.S. Patent 5,229,407
CVV		Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 27 – U.S. Patent 6,077,535
EXAMINER		DATE CONSIDERED

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

RECEIVED

APR 19 2002
FBI CENTER 1600/2900

Form PTO-1449	U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office	ATTY. DOCKET NO. P30835DIV2C2	SERIAL NO. 10/044,848
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(Use several sheets if necessary)</i>		APPLICANT Pathak et al.	
		FILING DATE January 11, 2002	GROUP

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial	Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Translation Yes	No

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

CWW	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania - Order
CXX	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania - SmithKline Beecham Corporation and SmithKline Beecham plc's Memorandum In Opposition to Torpharm's Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of Claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent 6,113,944
CYY	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania - SmithKline Beecham Corporation and SmithKline Beecham plc's Memorandum In Opposition to Torpharm's Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of Claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent 6,113,944 - INDEX
EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

RECEIVED

 APR 19 2002
 TELETYPE UNIT 1600/2900
 Sheet 7 of 7

Form PTO-1449	U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office	ATTY. DOCKET NO. P30835DIV2C2	SERIAL NO. 10/044,848
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(Use several sheets if necessary)</i>		APPLICANT Pathak et al.	
		FILING DATE January 11, 2002	GROUP

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial	Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Translation Yes	Translation No

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

CZZ	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 1 – Affidavit of Richard Killworth, and Exhibits A-B Attached Thereto (Exhibit A – Richard Killworth's Curriculum Vitae; Exhibit B – Manual of Patent Examining Procedures, pages 700-19 to 700-21; 1800-82 to 1800-83; 1800-145; 2100-68 to 2100-112; and 2100-194)
CAAA	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 2 – Affidavit of Dr. Christopher T. Rhodes and Exhibits A-I Attached Thereto (Exhibit A – Christopher Rhodes' Curriculum Vitae; Exhibit B – <u>Drug Stability Principles and Practices</u> , Vol. 107, page 6 and page 113; Exhibit C – <u>Pharmaceutics of Solids and Solid Dosage Forms</u> , pages 147, 148, 149 and 161; Exhibit D – <u>Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms</u> , Volume I, pages 195-199; Exhibit E – <u>Canadian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences</u> , Vol. 8, No. 1, pages 1-5 (1973); Exhibit F – <u>Modern Pharmaceutics</u> , Second Edition, page 372; Exhibit G – European Patent Application 0 188 081; Exhibit H – European Patent Application 0 269 303; Exhibit I – <u>Pharmaceutical Development and Technology</u> , 7(1), pages 1-32 (2002))
EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

RECEIVED

Sheet 18 of 9

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

A 19 2002

Form PTO-1449	U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office	ATTY. DOCKET NO. P30835DIV2C2	SERIAL NO. 10/044,848
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(Use several sheets if necessary)</i>		APPLICANT Pathak et al.	
		FILING DATE January 11, 2002	GROUP

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial	Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Translation Yes No

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

CBBB	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 3 – Affidavit of Dr. Robin Roman and Exhibits A-D Attached Thereto (Exhibit A – Robin Roman's Curriculum Vitae; Exhibit B – SmithKline Beecham Pharmaceuticals Department Report No. 93GBPT03_1; Exhibit C – Memo to D. J. White from D. G. Doughty regarding Paroxetine Pink Tablets, dated January 18, 1993; Exhibit D – Memo to D. Monkhouse from R. Roman regarding Paroxetine 20 mg Tablets Batch G91027, dated November 19, 1991)
CCCC	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 4 – Affidavit of James Mills and Exhibit Attached Thereto (Notice of Allegation to SmithKline Beecham Pharma from Apotex, Inc. dated March 1, 1999)
EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

RECEIVED

Form PTO-1449	U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office	ATTY. DOCKET NO. P30835DIV2C2	SERIAL NO. 10/044,848
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(Use several sheets if necessary)</i>		APPLICANT Pathak et al.	
		FILING DATE January 11, 2002	GROUP

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial	Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Translation Yes No

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

CDDD	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 5 – Affidavit of Sanya Sukduang and Exhibits A-G Attached Thereto (Exhibit A – In Civil Action No. 1:00 CV 01179 a Joint Stipulated Protective Order Pursuant to Rule 26c, dated May 18, 2001; Exhibit B – Letter from Kenneth Curtis to Mark Waddell re: SB et al. v. Synthon Pharmaceuticals Ltd, et al., dated March 15, 2002; Exhibit C – In U.S. District Court in the Southern District of New York – Subpoena in a Civil Case dated 3/13/02; Exhibit D – Letter to Kenneth Curtis from Mark Waddell re: SB et al v. Synthon Pharmaceuticals Ltd, et al., dated March 25, 2002; Exhibit E – In U.S. District Court in the Southern District of New York – Chadbourne and Parke LLP's Objections to Subpoena, dated March 25, 2002; Exhibit F – Letter from Kenneth Curtis to Mark Waddell re: SB et al. v. Apotex Corporation et al., dated March 29, 2002; Exhibit G – In U.S. District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina – Plaintiffs' Motion to Amend Joint Stipulated Protective Order Pursuant to Rule 26(c), dated April 5, 2002)
CEEE	Consolidated Civil Action Nos. 99-CV-4303, 00-CV-4888, 01-CV-159 and 01-CV-2169 in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania – Exhibit 6 – Copy of the Northern District of Illinois Memorandum and Order Dated January 14, 2002, in SmithKline Beecham Corporations v. Pentech Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Civil Action No. 00C2855

EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED
----------	-----------------

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.